

Draft Statement of Gambling Policy

Department Ref: EP/EIA00098/2018

Responsible Officer: Lawrence Isted

Job Title: Head of Environment & Planning

Assessment Owner: Joss Thomas

Job Title:

eMail Address:

Created By: Joss Thomas

Created Date: 06 Nov 2018

Last Modified By: Joss Thomas

Last Modified Date: 06 Nov 2018

Completed By:

Completed Date:

Rationale

Why is it being considered?

Statutory requirement for the licensing authority to publish how it will regulate its powers under the Gambling Act 2005

What need is being addressed?

The council communicating how it will issue and regulate gambling licences.

Aim

What is the intended outcome of the proposal / policy?

That the aims of the council are communicated clearly to stakeholders and interested parties.

How

How will it be delivered, by whom and by when?

Delivered and administered by the 'licensing authority' which is the Licensing Committee and the delegated officers that are approved to carry out the administration and regulation of gambling.

Who

Who are the people likely to be affected by this proposal or policy?

No specific PC groups.

How have you consulted with the people who are likely to be affected?

Statutory consultation has taken place.

Measures

How will you know when you have achieved your aims?

Implementation of the policy.

What are your measures / indicators of success?

Operators are compliant with licence terms.

Other Influences

Identify any other policy or decision [internal or external] that may affect your proposal.

N/A

| Screening Tool | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| | Q1. Could this proposal have a +ve or -ve effect on how functions are delivered to any of these groups? | Q2. Could this discriminate against any of these groups? | Q3. Could this proposal advance the equality of opportunity for these groups? | Q4. Could this promote good relations between these groups and the wider community? |
| Age CYP | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Age Adults | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Disability | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Gender / Sex | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Pregnancy & Maternity | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Race / Ethnicity | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Religion / Belief | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Sexual Orientation | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Marriage & Civil Partnership | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Gender Reassignment | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Carers | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Poverty | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |
| Welsh Language | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact | No Impact |

| Screening Impacts | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|----------|---|
| Question | Type | Category | Description |
| All | No Impact | All | The policy does not specifically affect groups of a protected characteristic. |

Negative Effects

Evidence Documents

Linked EIAs

Follow Up

Was any mitigation applied or was the proposal delivered as originally planned prior to the Equality Impact Assessment?

Were the intended outcomes of the proposal achieved or were there other results?

Were the impacts confined to the people you initially thought would be affected, or were other people affected? How?

